

Proof of L4.12 Define $\delta: D \rightarrow D$, $\delta(x) = [a, x] = ax - xa$.

δ is a derivation ($\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$, $\delta(x+y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y)$)

and $K := \mathbb{F}_p[a]$ linear ($\delta(\lambda x) = \lambda \delta(x)$ for $\lambda \in K$).

$m \geq 1$ minimal w. $a^{p^m} = a \Rightarrow K \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$.

Claim: (1) $\delta^{p^n} = [a^{p^n}, x] \quad \forall n \geq 1$ (2) $\delta^{p^m} = \delta$

Proof: (1) $\delta^i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i}{j} a^j x a^{i-j}$ (combinatorial argument or induction)

Now $p \mid \binom{p^n}{j}$ unless $j \in \{0, p^n\} \Rightarrow \delta^{p^n}(x) = a^{p^n} x - x a^{p^n}$

(2) $a^{p^m} = a \Rightarrow \delta^{p^m}(x) = \delta(x)$

□ (Claim)

So $f(\delta) = 0$ with $f = t^{p^m} - t \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$ (taken in $\text{End}_K(D)$)

$f = \prod_{b \in K} (t - b)$, let $g = t(t - b_1) \dots (t - b_e)$ with e minimal s.t.

$g(\delta) = 0 \Rightarrow e \geq 1$ since $\delta \neq 0 \Rightarrow \delta(\delta - b_1) \dots (\delta - b_{e-1}) \neq 0$

($b_1, \dots, b_e \in K \setminus \{0\}$ pairwise distinct.)

Let $v \in D$ be s.t. $x := \delta(\delta - b_1) \dots (\delta - b_{e-1})v \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow (\delta - b_e)x = 0$, so x is an eigenvector of δ w. eigenvalue $b := b_e \in K$

$\Rightarrow ax - xa = bx \Rightarrow xa = (a - b)x \Rightarrow xa x^{-1} = a - b$

Now $a, a - b$ are elements of the finite field K of same multiplicative order. Since K^\times is cyclic, they generate the same subgroup

$\Rightarrow a - b = a^i$ for some $i \geq 1$, $0 \neq a^i$ since $b \neq 0$.

□

For $n \geq 1$, let $\mu_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) := \{ \zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} : \zeta^n = 1 \}$ the n -th roots of unity,

and $\mu_n^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) := \{ \zeta \in \mu_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : \zeta^m \neq 1 \text{ for } m|n, m \neq n \}$ the n -th primitive roots of unity. Then $|\mu_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})| = n$, $|\mu_n^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})| = \varphi(n)$ Euler- φ -function

Define $\Phi_n(x) := \prod_{\zeta \in \mu_n^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} (x - \zeta)$ the n -th cyclotomic polynomial.

Lemma 4.14: (1) If $m|n$, then $x^m - 1 \mid x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

(2) $\Phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\Phi_n(x) \mid x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

Proof: (1) $x^n - 1 = (x^m)^{n/m} - 1^{n/m} = (x^m - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{n/m-1} x^{mj}$

(2) If $m|n$, then $x^m - 1 \mid x^n - 1$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a UFD,

also $F := \text{lcm}(\{x^m - 1 : m|n, m < n\})$ divides $x^n - 1$.

$$\frac{x^n - 1}{F} = \prod_{\substack{\zeta \in \mu_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ \zeta \notin \mu_m(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ m|n, m < n}} (x - \zeta) = \prod_{\zeta \in \mu_n^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} (x - \zeta) = \Phi_n(x).$$

□

Remark: Φ_n is irreducible

Lemma 4.15: If D is a division ring and $K \subseteq L \subseteq D$ are subfields, then $[D:K] \stackrel{\text{dim}_K D}{=} [D:L][L:K]$

Proof: If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an L -basis of D and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a

K -basis of L , then $\{e_i f_j : i \in I, j \in J\}$ is a K -basis of D .

□

Proof of Th. 13: $Z(D) = F$ is a finite field

$\Rightarrow |F| = q$ with q a prime power $\Rightarrow |D| = q^n$ with $n = \dim D_F$

Class equation for D^\times :

For $a \in D^\times$, $C(a) := \{b \in D : ba = ab\}$ (centralizer) is a division ring, $|\{bab^{-1} : b \in D\}| = \frac{|D^\times|}{|C(a)^\times|}$.

$$\Rightarrow q^n - 1 = |D^\times| = \underbrace{q - 1}_{\text{center}} + \sum_{i=1}^e \frac{|D^\times|}{|C(a_i)^\times|} = q - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^e \frac{q^n - 1}{q^{m_i} - 1} \quad (*)$$

where a_1, \dots, a_e represent the non-trivial conjugacy classes in D^\times .

Here $|C(a_i)^\times| = q^{m_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq m_i < n$ since $C(a_i)$ is a division ring with some $m_i = \dim C(a_i)_F$.

Note $\frac{x^n - 1}{x^{m_i} - 1} = \Phi_n(x) H_i(x)$ with $H_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

$$\Phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \Phi_n(q) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_n(q) \mid \frac{q^n - 1}{q^{m_i} - 1}$$

$$(*) \rightarrow \Phi_n(q) \mid q - 1$$

But $\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{\zeta \in \mu_n^*(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \zeta \neq 1}} (q - \zeta)$ and $|q - \zeta| > q - 1$ if $\zeta \neq 1$

so this is only possible if $n = 1 \Rightarrow D = F$. □

5. Tensor Products

Let $M_R \in \text{Mod-}R$, ${}_R N \in R\text{-Mod}$, $X \in \text{Ab}^{\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}}$

A map $\varphi: M \times N \rightarrow X$ is **R-balanced** if $\forall m, m' \in M \forall n, n' \in N \forall r \in R$:

$$\varphi(m+m', n) = \varphi(m, n) + \varphi(m', n)$$

$$\varphi(m, n+n') = \varphi(m, n) + \varphi(m, n') \quad \text{and}$$

$$\varphi(mr, n) = \varphi(m, rn)$$

The **tensor product** of M and N is an abelian group $M \otimes_R N$ together with an **R-balanced** map $\otimes: M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$ satisfying the following

UP: If $\varphi: M \times N \rightarrow X$ ($X \in \text{Ab}$) is **R-balanced**, there exists a unique group hom. $\bar{\varphi}: M \otimes_R N \rightarrow X$ s.t. $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ \otimes$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (m, n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & m \otimes n \\ M \times N & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & M \otimes N \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \exists! \bar{\varphi} \\ & & X \end{array}$$

$(M \otimes_R N, \otimes)$ is unique up to unique iso: If $(M \boxtimes N, \boxtimes)$ satisfies the

same UP:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \otimes & M \otimes N \\ M \times N & \searrow & \downarrow \bar{\otimes} \\ & \boxtimes & M \boxtimes N \end{array} \quad \text{but also:}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \otimes & M \otimes N \\ M \times N & \searrow & \downarrow \bar{\otimes} \circ \bar{\boxtimes} = \text{id} \\ & \boxtimes & M \boxtimes N \end{array} \quad \text{by uniqueness}$$

and $\bar{\boxtimes} \circ \bar{\otimes} = \text{id}$

Existence: Let F be the free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis

$$\{e_{(m,n)} : (m,n) \in M \times N\}$$

Let $K \leq F_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be generated by $(\forall m, m' \in M, n, n' \in N, r \in R)$:

$$e_{(m+m',n)} - e_{(m,n)} - e_{(m',n)}, \quad e_{(m,n+n')} - e_{(m,n)} - e_{(m,n')},$$

$$e_{(mr,n)} - e_{(m,n)}.$$

Define $M \otimes_R N := F/K$, $\otimes: M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$, $(m,n) \mapsto m \otimes n := e_{(m,n)} + K$

Check: \otimes R -balanced, $M \otimes_R N$ satisfies the UP (using homomorphism thm)

Elements of form $m \otimes n$ are **pure (=elementary)**, general

elements: $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i \otimes n_i$, $m_i \in M$, $n_i \in N$.

If $f: M_R \rightarrow M'_R$, $g: {}_R N \rightarrow {}_R N'$ are module hom's then

$(f, g): M \times N \rightarrow M' \otimes_R N'$, $(m, n) \mapsto f(m) \otimes g(n)$ is R -balanced

$$[f(mr) \otimes g(n) = f(m)r \otimes g(n) = f(m) \otimes rg(n) = f(m) \otimes g(rn)],$$

and induces a unique $f \otimes g: M \otimes N \rightarrow M' \otimes N'$.

$\Rightarrow \otimes: \underline{\text{Mod}}\text{-}R \times R\text{-}\underline{\text{Mod}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ab}}$ is a functor.

! $f \otimes g$ also makes sense as element of $\text{Hom}(M, M') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(N, N')$,
but this is something different in general.

Bimodules: If ${}_S M_R$, ${}_R N_T$ are (S, R) , resp. (R, T) -bimodules,

then ${}_S M_R \otimes_R N_T = {}_S (M \otimes_R N)_T$ is an (S, T) -bimodule via

$$s(m \otimes n) + := (sm) \otimes (nt).$$

Remark: (1) If $M_R, {}_R N$ are just modules, they are ${}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_R, {}_R N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ bimodules, so the previous case is a special case!

(2) If R is commutative, every module is an (R, R) -bimodule,

so for $M, N \in \text{Mod-}R$, $M \otimes_R N$ is again an R -module.

$\Rightarrow \otimes$ is a functor $(S, R)\text{-Mod} \times (R, T)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow (S, T)\text{-Mod}$.

R commutative: $M, N, X \in R\text{-Mod}$

$f: M \times N \rightarrow X$ is R -bilinear if f is R -balanced &

$$f(rm, n) = r f(m, n) = f(m, rn) \quad \forall m \in M, n \in N, r \in R$$

Then $M \otimes_R N$ satisfies analogous OP wrt. R -bilinear maps:

$f: M \times N \rightarrow X$ R -bilinear

$$\Rightarrow \exists! \bar{f} \in \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, X_R); \quad \bar{f} \circ \otimes = f.$$

\otimes -Hom Adjunction:

Motivation/Comparison: In Set,

$$\otimes \text{Hom}(\underline{A} \times \underline{B}, \underline{C}) \cong \text{Hom}(\underline{A}, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\underline{B}, \underline{C})) \quad (\text{Functorial in } \underline{A}, \underline{B}, \underline{C})$$

$$f: \underline{A} \times \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{C} \mapsto (a \mapsto f_a: \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{C}), \quad f_a(b) = f(a, b)$$

$$(a, b) \mapsto \underbrace{(f(a))}_{\underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{C}}(b) \leftarrow f$$

Two (bi) functors: $- \times - : \underline{\text{Set}} \times \underline{\text{Set}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$

$$\text{Hom}(-, -): \underline{\text{Set}}^{\text{op}} \times \underline{\text{Set}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$$

$- \times B: \underline{\text{Set}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}, \quad \text{Hom}(B, -): \underline{\text{Set}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$

\otimes : $- \times B$ is left adjoint to $\text{Hom}(B, -)$

($\Rightarrow - \times B$ commutes with colimits, $\text{Hom}(B, -)$ with limits)

for (bi)modules: first note: if M_R, N_R are modules

$\text{Hom}(M_R, N_R)$ is i.g. only on abelian group! [~~$(f+g)(m) = f(m) + g(m)$ does not work.~~] But,

•) If ${}_S M_R$ is a bimodule, $\text{Hom}({}_S M_R, N_R)_S$ is a right S -module, via $(f_S)(m) := f(sm)$.
(contravariant)

•) If ${}_T N_R$ is a bimodule, ${}_T \text{Hom}(M_R, {}_T N_R)$ is a left T -module, via $({}_T f)(m) := {}_T f(m)$.
(covariant)

\otimes -Hom Adjunction: ${}_R M_S$ bimodule,

$- \otimes_R M: \underline{\text{Mod}}\text{-}R \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mod}}\text{-}S, \quad \text{Hom}_S(M_S, -): \underline{\text{Mod}}\text{-}S \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mod}}\text{-}R$

are adjoint via $(X_R \in \underline{\text{Mod}}\text{-}R, Y_S \in \underline{\text{Mod}}\text{-}S)$:

$$\text{Hom}_S(X_R \otimes_R M_S, Y_S) \cong \text{Hom}_R(X_R, \text{Hom}_R(M_S, Y_S)_R)$$

$$f \longmapsto (x \mapsto f_x), \quad f_x(m) = f(x \otimes m)$$

$$x \otimes m \mapsto g(x)(m) \longleftarrow g$$

[Proof: using UP!]

Remark: If X is a (T, R) -bimodule, Y a (T', S) bimodule,

then the iso is as (T', T) -bimodules.

So, \otimes commutes with colimits (direct sum, cokernel)

If $A_R \rightarrow B_R \rightarrow C_R \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then so is

$$A_R \otimes M \rightarrow B_R \otimes M \rightarrow C_R \otimes M \rightarrow 0, \text{ i.e. } - \otimes_R M \text{ is right exact}$$

(i.g., $f: A_R \rightarrow B_R$ injective $\not\Rightarrow f \otimes M: A_R \otimes M \rightarrow B_R \otimes M$ injective)

Proof Sketch: First verify:

(i) $0 \rightarrow N_R \xrightarrow{f} M_R \xrightarrow{g} K_R$ exact

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall X \in \text{Mod-}R, \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X_R, N_R) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Hom}(X_R, M_R) \xrightarrow{g_*} \text{Hom}(X_R, K_R) \text{ exact}$$

$$f_*(\varphi) = f \circ \varphi \quad g_*(\varphi) = g \circ \varphi$$

(ii) $N_R \xrightarrow{f} M_R \xrightarrow{g} K_R \rightarrow 0$ exact

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall X \in \text{Mod-}R, \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K_R, X_R) \xrightarrow{g^*} \text{Hom}(M_R, X_R) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Hom}(N_R, X_R) \text{ exact}$$

$$g^*(\varphi) = \varphi \circ g \quad f^*(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f$$

(\Rightarrow), $\text{Hom}(X_R, -), \text{Hom}(-, X_R)$ are left exact

Now: $A_R \xrightarrow{f} B_R \xrightarrow{g} C_R \rightarrow 0$ exact, ${}_R X \in R\text{-Mod}$

Consider $A \otimes_R X \xrightarrow{f \otimes X} B \otimes_R X \xrightarrow{g \otimes X} C \otimes_R X \rightarrow 0$ (*)

Apply $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, Y), Y \in \text{Ab}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C \otimes_R X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B \otimes_R X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A \otimes_R X, Y)$$

$$\otimes\text{-Hom adj.} \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R,R}(X, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, Y)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R,R}(X, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B, Y)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R,R}(X, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, Y))$$

$\xrightarrow{(i), (ii)}$ lower row is exact \Rightarrow upper row is exact

$\xrightarrow{(ii)}$ (*) is exact.